



Market Roundup

July 25, 2003

SCO Raises Linux Stakes: Five- Card Draw or Three-Card Monte?

Girding for Grid

HP Finds an OASIS

Pressure Drop: Sun Axes LX50 Entry Level Server

SCO Raises Linux Stakes: Five- Card Draw or Three-Card Monte?

By Charles King

SCO announced this week that it has received U.S. copyright registrations for UNIX System V source code, a jurisdictional prerequisite for enforcement of copyrights. The company also announced that it will offer UnixWare licenses tailored to support run-time binary use of Linux for all commercial users of Linux based on kernel version 2.4.x and later. According to the company's claims, since 2001 commercial Linux customers have been purchasing and receiving software that contains misappropriated UNIX System V source code owned by SCO. In particular, the company pointed to capabilities in the Linux 2.4.x and 2.5.x development kernel that allow Linux to scale to thirty-two and sixty-four processors through the addition of Symmetrical Multi-Processing (SMP) capabilities taken from UNIX System V. According to SCO, the company will hold harmless commercial Linux customers who purchase a UnixWare license against any past copyright violations and of any future use of Linux in a run-only binary format. In a related event, Open Source Victoria (OSV), an Australian Open Source group, has asked the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) to investigate SCO's claims for 'misrepresentation of need,' where an organization suggests that people must make payments that, in fact, they are not obligated to. The OSV likened SCO's offering of UnixWare licenses to a "Nigerian scam or Internet extortion ploy."

Just when you think SCO's meandering effort against IBM could not get any weirder, the company delivers yet another claim that reads as if it were slapped together during a particularly liquid happy hour. The most curious thing about this latest directive is that it reads as if the company's lawsuit against IBM had been decided in SCO's favor. In reality, the case has not even been accorded a preliminary hearing let alone a trial, meaning that evidence of SCO's claims has not been seen by IBM, a judge, a jury or the general public. Since SCO is demanding license fees for what are for now essentially fictional UNIX copyright infractions, one must wonder if the company will refund whatever license fees it collects should it lose its suit. One seriously doubts it. More likely, SCO sees collection of these license fees as proof of its claims that it may need to use to bolster its position in court. Another odd bit concerning this press release regards SCO's comments about the SMP capabilities of the Linux kernel 2.4.x and 2.5.x. Since the vast majority of commercial users have deployed Linux on lower-end servers, where SMP is superfluous, why would they need SCO's UnixWare licenses?

Maybe they do things differently in Linden, Utah, which may be closer to the Old West than is good for SCO. From its public actions, SCO seems to see itself as a tough hombre in the Clint Eastwood mold, outnumbered by powerful adversaries but willing to risk all for the sake of intellectual property. But from the outside, SCO appears to be little more than a goofy adolescent desperate for attention. The company's previous attempts to spread FUD among IBM, AIX customers and the Linux community have elicited little more than a jaunty "See you in court," an inevitability SCO seems anxious to avoid and from our point of view, for good reason. From where we stand, the OSV's request for a government investigation into SCO's UnixWare licensing scheme seems a reasonable and appropriate response. We only hope that members of other Open Source communities are willing to take similar action. Enough already.

Girding for Grid

By Jim Balderston

IBM announced this week that it is including grid computing capabilities in the latest version of WebSphere Application Server V5.02 - Enterprise. The new software comes from IBM's recent acquisition of ThinkDynamics, a company that specialized in the management and load balancing of clustered servers. The new WebSphere feature will allow IT managers to install what IBM calls a "traffic cop-like" component that automatically monitors application workloads and then routes traffic to different servers as needed. The company said the latest version of WebSphere now supports twenty-six separate platforms, including the recently added Windows 2003 Server. IBM said WebSphere Application Server V5.02-Enterprise, including the new grid computing tools, would be available this week for a price of \$30,000 per processor.

Grid computing is a relatively easy concept to grasp. If one considers the desktop SETI project — wherein thousands of volunteers allowed their desktop computers to be used to sort the millions of radio signals emitted by the universe and collected with radio telescopes — one has a pretty good idea of how unused computing horsepower can be utilized across a wide area network. Grid computing as envisioned by IBM offers a more mundane and practical application of this capability. Instead of looking for little green men, grid computing provides enterprises the means to utilize existing unused processing power, memory, and bandwidth to perform large-scale computational tasks that would otherwise require dedicated resources which themselves might be largely underutilized a good part of the time.

While commercial grid computing solutions have to date been largely targeted at large enterprises, we think the general concept of allowing a business to effectively cluster its entire IT deployment for specific tasks is one that will not stay long in the rarified air of corporate datacenters. We see a host of technologies — including blade servers, high-performance computing, and clustering technologies — that are moving downstream into middle tier environments. We see no reason to think that Grid computing will not follow a similar path, as the medium-sized enterprise has as much to gain from leveraging and extending its existing IT deployment as the larger enterprise. IBM's decision to include Grid capabilities in its new WebSphere Application Server will only help expand grid computing's exposure and reach into the market, which means it will have more opportunity to move up and down the food chain as market conditions demand. For enterprises of all sizes, the ability to tap into a large pool of unused computing power may not result in the discovery of little green men, but it will allow those enterprises to capture some of the type of green that drops straight to the bottom line, a close encounter that most would welcome with open arms.

HP Finds an OASIS

By Jim Balderston

HP announced this week that it intends to submit its Web Services Management Framework to the Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards (OASIS). Supporting the submission were a number of middleware vendors including BEA Systems, Informatica, IONA, Sun Microsystems, TIBCO Software, and webMethods. The specification outlines how various network events can be monitored and communicated to management consoles and describes how IT resources can expose management information about themselves and how they can be managed. The specification largely focuses on various elements of XML, which is used for information sharing across a range of different system environments. HP said it will make the framework specification available to the public for download. The company said the spec was model and platform neutral, and supported J2EE and .NET, among others.

We've scratched our heads a bit over this announcement, not because we think HP is making a mistake here, but in searching for the downside to the company submitting this specification for standardization. In short we don't see any. For HP, an open standard framework for its management tools — namely OpenView — gives the company back a lot more than it might be giving up. Standards-based offerings expand opportunities for ISVs and partners, thereby expanding the available number of vendors providing solutions to IT customers. If those ISVs and supporters decide to offer their wares on HP products, well, all the better.

We also see this move as one way that HP can maneuver around its lack of home-grown middleware solutions. The standardization process ensures — at least to a much greater degree — that various middleware products from vendors like BEA, Sun, TIBCO, webMethods and others will have some level of interoperability with HP products. In this way HP gets to have a bit of cake and eat it too, as the company does not have to go into the middleware market yet can tell its customers and channel that by deploying HP products, they will not be subjected to the modern horror of vendor lock-in. This leaves it up to middleware vendors to keep their products competitive, hopefully driving greater value to the customer base through active and engaged competition. Smart move, and one that should reap HP real benefits.

Pressure Drop: Sun Axes LX50 Entry Level Server

By Charles King

Sun Microsystems has announced the end of life for the company's LX50 server. Originally introduced in August 2002, the Sun LX50 was Sun's first foray into the entry level x86-based server market. Priced starting at \$2,795, the LX50 could support either Solaris x86 or a Sun-developed Linux OS (which the company recently discontinued). The LX50 also included the company's SunONE software stack, as well as a host of other Sun applications.

A tendency to indulge in supercilious tea-leaf reading and other related mumbo jumbo is part and parcel of an IT analyst's life. Common sense, experience, and imagination are critical to the job, but these are also valuable qualities for the writing of popular fiction. That said, what are we to make of Sun's decision to drop a product on which the company spent considerable time and money? An obvious inference is that the failure of the LX50 may be emblematic of the company's overall difficulties with the entry level IT market, most of whose users are devoted to the x86-based platform, an architecture Sun has traditionally regarded as little more than a plaything. Since the LX50 was also Sun's initial commercial Linux play, its cancellation might also denote continuing problems in Sun's stumbling participation in the Linux market. Such analyses may be fundamentally accurate, but what the company should do to correct these perceived shortcomings opens new areas of speculation. The fact is that Sun rose to prominence and success as a take-no-prisoners devotee of 64-bit UNIX computing, a sector the company continues to dominate. But the greater market appears to have shifted since the dotcom salad days, and now seems to favor systems vendors who offer a bit of something for every business IT taste. It is true that a continuing recession and the remarkable evolution of x86 capabilities have driven 32-bit solutions further into high-end computing than many ever expected. It is also true that industry standard components are helping to drive down server costs and profit margins in much the same way they have PCs. Does Sun need to play in each and every IT field to remain successful? Many, and the conventional wisdom, would insist that they must.

At times like this, it is wise to remember the ongoing trials of SGI (aka Silicon Graphics). Though it was the preeminent player among high-end graphics vendors, conventional wisdom suggested that SGI would be overtaken and left in the dust by upstart Windows-based graphics technologies. SGI's decision to unload many of its visualization crown jewels and reposition itself as an NT server vendor might have seemed smart at the time, but excessive development costs and myriad lost opportunities spelled the end of the company's role as a major IT player. Half a decade down the road, SGI remains a premiere, if much smaller, high-end graphics technology provider. At the same time those scary upstart graphics technologies were evolving, so it seems was SGI. Sun may appear to be confronted by insurmountable obstacles, but things change daily. Sometimes the wisest course of action is to lower expectations, hunker down, minimize your risks, ignore conventional wisdom, and continue doing what you know and do best.